

國立台灣大學 ☐物理系、☐天文所、☐應物所博士班入學招生推薦函  
壹、申請人填寫部份：

姓名		性別	<input type="checkbox"/> 男 <input type="checkbox"/> 女	出生年月日	民國	年	月	日
報考系所	所博士班			學歷				
碩士論文	題目：				指導教授			
曾參加 專題研究	題目：							
研究興趣 及研究方向								
推薦人	姓名		服務機關			職稱		
	地址	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> _____縣(市) _____鄉鎮(市區) _____里(村)					電話	
		_____鄰 _____路(街) _____段 _____巷 _____弄 _____號 _____樓						
		Email：						

貳、Parts filled by the recommender：

Note：The purpose of this recommendation letter is to assist the Doctoral Program admission committee to understand the applicant's past academic performance, research and work performance, in order to consider whether the applicant is eligible for admission to our institute. Your valuable opinion and cooperation will be greatly appreciated. This evaluation is strictly confidential and will not be released to the public.

- Your relationship to the applicant (can be multiple)：☐ classroom instruction，☐ research project supervisor，☐ workplace administrator，☐ other (specify)：  
\_\_\_\_\_
- How long have you known the applicant? \_\_\_\_\_ years.
- Your familiarity with the applicant：☐very familiar，☐moderately familiar，☐ not familiar.
- Choose from below the most appropriate for the applicant:
  - Your reference of comparison is：☐current graduating master students，☐current graduating undergraduate students，☐students taught in the past \_\_\_\_\_years，☐ other (describe)：\_\_\_\_\_
  - Your relative ranking is based on how many people? \_\_\_\_\_ people.
  - Quantitative assessment：
    - general academic grades：☐top5%，☐5~10%，☐10~25%，☐25~50%，☐below 50%，☐can't assess.
    - research grades：☐top 5%，☐5~10%，☐10~25%，☐25~50%，☐below 50%，☐can't assess.
    - independent research capability：☐ top5%，☐5~10%，☐10~25%，☐25~50%，☐below 50%，☐can't assess.
    - originality：☐top5%，☐5~10%，☐10~25%，☐25~50%，☐below 50%，☐can't assess.

(5) writing capability : ☐top5% , ☐5~10% , ☐10~25% , ☐25~50% , ☐below 50% , ☐can' t assess.

(6) oral communication capability : ☐top5 % , ☐5~10 % , ☐10~25 % , ☐25~50 % , ☐below 50% , ☐can' t assess.

(7)team work capability : ☐top5% , ☐5~10% , ☐10~25 % , ☐25~50 % , ☐below 50% , ☐can' t assess.

Overall ranking : ☐top5% , ☐5~10% , ☐10~25% , ☐25~50% , ☐below 50% , ☐can' t assess.

5. The applicant seeks to pursue a doctorate degree. How well do you think he/she is prepared in terms of the fundamental courses and knowledge required for his/her research plan (as written in the first part)? ☐very good , ☐good , ☐not bad , ☐poor , ☐can' t assess. Please illustrate with examples if applicable:

---

---

6. Will you recommend the applicant to pursue a doctorate degree? ☐strongly recommend , ☐highly recommend , ☐recommend , ☐recommend with reservation , ☐not recommend.

7. How will you describe the applicant' s work ethics during school or work? ☐self-motivated , ☐very careful , ☐very passive , ☐sloppy , ☐badly needs improvement. Please illustrate with examples if applicable:

---

---

8. Please describe other strengths or honors of the applicant you think worth mentioning:\_\_\_\_\_

---

---

9. Please describe severe weaknesses of the applicant you think worth mentioning:

---

---

10. Other comments:

Recommended (signature) : \_\_\_\_\_(DATE : \_\_\_\_/\_\_\_\_/\_\_\_\_ )